



# Satellites Tracking Data Analysis and Comparison from Laser Ranging and Other Detection Methods

by

Lalida Tantiparimongkol<sup>1,2</sup>, Huanhuan Yu<sup>1</sup>, Xiaozhong Guo<sup>1</sup>, Ming Shen<sup>1</sup>, Pengqi Gao<sup>1</sup>, Datao Yang<sup>1</sup> and You Zhao<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Science, China <sup>2</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

Email address: lalidatan@nao.cas.cn, youzhao@nao.cas.cn\*

#### 23 October 2024

# Agendas

- 1. Introduction to Space objects Tracking Data
- 2. Satellites Tracking Data Performance & Comparison
- 3. Space Debris Tracking
- 4. Conclusion







#### Introduction to Space objects 1. **Tracking Data**

# Space Objects Tracking Data format & sources



The Space Objects Tracking & Monitoring could be employed by various sensors operating methods, for example



Laser Ranging

Results

Laser ranging data

(e.g. ILRS ephemerides)

Radars

Results

Radar state vectors data (e.g. LeoLabs' radar network)

23rd International Workshop on Laser Ranging (IWLR) 2024

**Combination Sensors** (e.g. optical, radar, etc.)

Results TLE files (US SSN)

11/27/2024





### 2. Satellites Tracking Data Performance & Comparison



#### LeoLabs' Radar Network

Tracking & mapping data service applications for "Space Traffic Management" in LEO



Radar Network composes with 6 locations of UHF-band and S-band Phased array radars.

#### LeoLabs' Radar Network



- Data processing platform **on cloud web-based platform** via RESTful application programinterface
- Orbit Determination (OD) system utilizes: Unscented Kalman Filter (UKF) for nominalstate estimation/ tracking
- **OD propagation modeled forces** consists of:
  - ✓ 42 x 42 gravitational field model, JGM3
  - ✓ Solar & Lunar 3<sup>rd</sup> body gravity
  - ✓ Atmospheric drag (**NRLMSISE-00** model)
  - ✓ Solar Radiation pressure





#### LeoLabs' Radar Network



Revisit Rates in last 30-day average

#### Monthly Number of Measurements in a year

Monthly Objects Tracked daily in a year

23rd International Workshop on Laser Ranging (IWLR) 2024



#### LeoLabs' Radar Network Tracking Results



#### Radar measurement data from 11 ILRS objects:

| 1. | ISS ZARYA | 7. 5 |
|----|-----------|------|
| 2. | JASON-2   | 8. 3 |
| 3. | JASON-3   | 9. 3 |
| 4. | CRYOSAT-2 | 10.L |
| 5  | FNVISAT   | 11 . |

SENTINEL-3A

2.

5

6.

- SWARM A
- SWARM B
- SWARM C
- LARES
- STELLA
- Updated: 2024-10-13

| Result Type            | All time | Last 30 days | Last 7 days |
|------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|
| Radar Measurements     | 4506912  | 100041       | 23703       |
| <b>Observed Passes</b> | 168834   | 4122         | 980         |
| State Vectors          | 139412   | 4083         | 926         |



#### LeoLabs' Radar Network Tracking Results

3 out of 11 Tracked ILRS objects characteristics

| Characteristics | ILRS Objects      |                      |                   |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|                 | JASON-3           | CRYOSAT-2            | SWARM A           |
| NORAD ID        | 41240             | 36508                | 39452             |
| Altitude        | 1340 km           | 720 km               | 460 km            |
| Inclination     | 66°               | 92°                  | 87°               |
| RCS             | 39 m <sup>2</sup> | 17.27 m <sup>2</sup> | 28 m <sup>2</sup> |
|                 |                   |                      |                   |

#### LeoLabs' Radar Network Tracking Results

3 out of 11 Tracked ILRS objects Tracking results



NAOC

11

Updated: 2024-10-13

The LeoLabs' radar network tracking results as "State vectors" performance would be referred with *Fitted normal distributions data*, and *International Laser Ranging Service (ILRS) ephemerides* called "Truth data."

#### **Overall Tracking Performance**

LeoLabs State Vectors vs Truth Data LeoLabs State Vector RMS Uncertainty 250 30 200 RMS Uncertainty (meters) **Distance** (meters) 100 15 10 Apr '24 lul '24 lan '24 Oct '24 Jan '24 Apr<sup>'</sup>24 lul '24 Oct '24 www.leolabs.space www.leolabs.space Different between LeoLabs' state vectors and ILRS RMS Uncertainty (weekly average ~ 20 m) "Truth data" (weekly average ~ 55 m)

23rd International Workshop on Laser Ranging (IWLR) 2024



Updated: 2024-10-13



#### LeoLabs' Radar Network Tracking Performance

3 out of 11 Tracked ILRS objects Tracking performance

#### State vector RMS uncertainty

Update on 2024-10-13









Sep 16

Sep 23

Sep 30

Oct 7

www.leolabs.space

#### LeoLabs' Radar Network Tracking Performance

3 out of 11 Tracked ILRS objects Tracking performance

#### Raw distance position and velocity



Update on 2024-10-13

11/27/2024

### B. Satellites Tracking Data Comparison



#### **Comparison of Satellite Ranging data performance**

The accuracy of laser ranging data from *International Laser Ranging Service (ILRS)* could be initially summarize and compare with LeoLabs' radar and TLE for 3 out of 11 ILRS Objects example.

| Space<br>Objects | NORAD ID | Average accuracy |                       |            |  |  |
|------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------------|------------|--|--|
|                  |          | SLR<br>(m)       | LeoLabs' Radar<br>(m) | TLE<br>(m) |  |  |
| JASON 3          | 41240    | < 0.0030         | 42                    | ~1000      |  |  |
| CRYOSAT 2        | 36508    | 0.0150           | 34                    | ~1000      |  |  |
| SWARM A          | 39452    | 0.040 - 0.050    | 27                    | ~1000      |  |  |





# 3. Space Debris Tracking

# A Laser Ranging



#### Result from laser ranging station

1. Shanghai station

2020 – The development of 1KHZ repetition rate multi-pulse picosecond laser for 60cm telescope with 10W output power by *Long Mingliang et al.* The laser wavelength is 532 nm but divided into multi-pulse with 100 ps of each sub-pulse duration. Finally, the best accuracy results for LEO debris detection is 16.44 cm.

| Table 1 Results of laser space debris ranging with multi-pulse picosecond laser |            |                       |              |                              |                                  |
|---|------------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Number  | Date       | Name                  | $RCS \ /m^2$ | Root mean square $/{\rm cm}$ | Measured distance $/\mathrm{km}$ |
| 1   | 2020-03-15 | SL-14R_B              | 4.6          | 31.06                        | 727.5-749.0                      |
| 2   | 2020-03-15 | Cz-2c-r-b1 <u>G</u> z | 10.7         | 16.44                        | 1083.4-1150.7                    |
| 3   | 2020-03-18 | Delta2R_B             | 9.8          | 118.17                       | 1006.4-1049.3                    |
| 4   | 2020-03-18 | SL_DEB(814)           | 7.5          | 28.65                        | 836.7-899.4                      |
| 5   | 2020-03-18 | DELTA2R <u>B</u> O    | 5.5          | 64.27                        | 1100.3-1162.9                    |
| 6   | 2020-03-18 | SL-3R_B               | 6.6          | 150.51                       | 643.8-646.5                      |

2020- Experiment Results

# A. Laser Ranging



#### Result from laser ranging station

2. Changchun station

2014- The experiment on 532 nm laser pulse wavelength with 500 Hz of repetition frequency. The minimum radar cross section of the experimental system observation target is 1.2 m<sup>2</sup>, the diameter is about 1m, the maximum slant range is measured to be 1732 km, and the average RMS is 129.4 cm.







#### Result from LeoLabs' global radar network

According to the information from LeoLabs' radar network, we have chosen the tracking results from 4 space debris targets for the analysis due to the altitude as follow:

| Space Debris | Characteristics |               |                       | Performance         |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|              | NORAD ID        | Altitude (km) | RCS (m <sup>2</sup> ) | Accuracy (m)        |
| YZ-1S        | 43644           | 665           | 4.84                  | 19                  |
| IRIDIUM 33   | 33886           | 765           | 1.23                  | 22                  |
| CZ-4C        | 40114           | 842           | 9.43                  | 26                  |
| SL-12        | 27476           | 1187          | 2.42                  | 28                  |
|              |                 |               |                       | Undeted: 2024 10 12 |

Updated: 2024-10-13





# 4. Conclusion

## Conclusion



According to results, the different types of tracking data (e.g. *laser ranging data*, *radar state vectors*, and *TLE*) declares different accuracy of data, which could be utilized for space objects detection and monitoring with different advantages.













# **THANK YOU**